

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/721,738	11	/26/2003	Yong Hee Kim	K-0582	3953	
34610	7590	09/24/2004		EXAMINER		
FLESHNEI	R & KIM,	LLP		BUI, THACH H		
P.O. BOX 22 CHANTILL		153		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3752		
CHANTLL	1, VA 20	133				

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Λ
	10/721,738	KIM, YONG HEE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thach H Bui	3752	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply reply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty (vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 4S from the mailing date of this communicat NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, = ,	· · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	*	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	eceived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not re	socived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are replete with informalities too numerous to mention specifically.

The following noted informalities are merely exemplary thereof. The claims should be revised to conform to U.S. Patent Office practice.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "an auxiliary nozzle, <u>having a second</u> coupling hole" renders the claim unclear. There is no clear teaching in the Specification of "an auxiliary nozzle, having a second coupling hole".

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "the first coupling hole" recited in lines 5-6, renders the claim unclear. There is no clear teaching of "the first coupling hole" in the Specification.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "the first end" recited in line 6, renders the claim unclear. There is no clear teaching of "the first end" in the Specification.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "second interlocking means, <u>having a</u> <u>first end</u>" recited in line 7, renders the claim unclear.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "the second coupling hole" recited in line 8, renders the claim unclear.

Art Unit: 3752

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "the second coupling hole by the first end and by having a second end to be caught on said first interlocking means" recited in lines 8-9, renders the claim unclear.

Claim 5 is vague and indefinite because "a first flange, <u>formed on a second end</u>" renders the claim unclear. There is no clear teaching of "a second end".

Claim 5 is vague and indefinite because" a plurality of first protrusions, formed on the first end" renders the claim unclear. There is no clear teaching of "the first end".

Claim 6 is vague and indefinite because "said first interlocking means further comprising at least one stop formed between said first flange and said plurality of first protrusions" renders the claim unclear. There is no clear teaching of "one stop formed between said first flange and said plurality of first protrusions". Further, does "one stop" mean "slotted stop" or something different? See claim 9 for the same informality for "the second interlocking means".

Claim 7 is vague and indefinite because "a plurality of second protrusions, formed on the first end" renders the claim unclear.

Claim 7 is vague and indefinite because "a second flange, formed on the second end" renders the claim unclear.

Claim 8 is vague and indefinite because "a plurality of supports, formed at the second end" renders the claim unclear.

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campagnolo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,673,714).

Campagnolo et al. teach a nozzle assembly of a dishwasher comprising a main nozzle (1) having a first coupling hole, and an auxiliary nozzle (8) having a second coupling hole for coupling with the main nozzle (see Fig. 2). The apparatus includes a first interlocking means (6) (see Fig. 2) for coupling with the main nozzle at the first coupling hole by being caught in the first coupling hole, and a second interlocking means (8) (see Fig. 2) for coupling with the auxiliary nozzle at the second coupling hole by being caught in the second coupling hole. The first and second interlocking means are each provided with a passage allowing water flow between the main and auxiliary nozzles (9, 13). The first and second interlocking means are rotatably assembled with respect to each other (see fig. 1). The first interlocking means comprises a first flange (see Fig. 2) abutted on the main nozzle at the coupling hole, and a plurality of protrusions (14) (see fig. 2) formed at the other end. The first interlocking means comprises at least one stop fitted into the coupling hole of the main nozzle to prevent from rotating (6) (see Fig. 2). The second interlocking means (8) (see Fig. 2) comprises

Art Unit: 3752

a plurality of protrusions and/or flanges, and a bearing shaft (sidewall of 8) for rotatably

Page 5

receiving the first interlocking means (see Fig. 2).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Michael et al., Mercer, Lim et al., Chung, Johnson et al., Young, Jr. Et al., Gurubatham, and Nomura et al. are cited of general interest.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thach H Bui whose telephone number is 703-305-0063. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on 703-308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T.'B!

09/19/2004